Quantum spin ices and topological phases from dipolar-octupolar doublets on the pyrochlore lattice Yi-Ping Huang, Gang Chen, Michael Hermele

I Compound with **pyrochlore** networks, *i.e.* pyrochlores, $\mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{B}_2 O_7$ or spinels $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}_2 O_4$.

Department of Physics, 390 UCB, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA

Systems we are interested in

- \bullet **A**₂**B**₂O₇ and **AB**₂O₄., B is d¹ or d³, A is non-magnetic.(FIG.1)
- \bullet $\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{O}_7$, A is a trivalent rare earth with partially filled 4f shell, B is non-magnetic[\[1\]](#page-0-0).

 \blacktriangleright Iridates reveal lots of interesting questions al- \mid ready. However, they are just part of the material with large spin-orbit coupling. How about other 5d transition metal compounds?

Figure 1: Trigonally distorted crystal field for 5d system.

I System with valence electron configuration 5*d* 3 on pyrochlore lattice could also be interesting. The local doublets for 5*d* 3 configuration (dipolar-octupolar doublets, DO doublets) transform differently with $5d^5$ configuration. Will different transformation properties of local doublets change the physics? If yes, how?

Motivation/questions

I For nerest-neighbor case, T_{rr}^{nn} $\begin{array}{rcl} \displaystyle \sigma^{mn} &=& i[t^1_{mn}\sigma^1] + \end{array}$ $t_{nn}^3 \sigma^3$. \rightarrow highly-nested fermi surface: more realistic to consider further hopping.

 \blacktriangleright We construct the symmetry allowed tight-binding model to 4-th nearest-neighbor hopping which is the lowest order that can split the accidental degeneracy at W point.

 \blacktriangleright The D_{3d} site symmetry is generated by a 3-fold rotation C_3 , a mirror plane M , and inversion \mathcal{I} , with: $C_3: \tau^\mu \to \tau^\mu, M: \tau^{x,z} \to -\tau^{x,z}, M: \tau^y \to \tau^y,$ and $\mathcal{I}: \tau^{\mu} \to \tau^{\mu}$.

Symmetry allowed nearest-neighbor exchange model is H_{ex} = Σ $\langle \bm{r} \bm{r}' \rangle \stackrel{\textstyle J}{\sim} \tau^x_{\bm{r}}$ *r τ x* \mathbf{J}_r^x + $J_y \tau^y_{\bm{r}}$ *r τ y* $J_z \rightarrow J_z \tau_{\bm r}^z$ *r τ z* $\frac{z}{r'}$ + $J_{xz}(\tau^x_{\bm r})$ *r τ z* $\frac{z}{\boldsymbol{r}'}+\tau^z_{\boldsymbol{r}}$ *r τ x r'*)

Pyrochlore network

Figure 2: Pyrochlore network

Tight-binding model

$$
H_{TB} = \sum_{(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}')} \left[\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{r}}^{\dagger} T_{\boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{r}'} \boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{r}} + h.c. \right] \tag{1}
$$

 $E_{rr'} = \tilde{\tau}_r^z$ $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{r}}$; $e^{iA_{\boldsymbol{r}\boldsymbol{r}'}}=\widetilde{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{r}}^x+i\widetilde{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{r}}^y$ *r*

 \blacktriangleright The expected existence of DO doublets on the pyrochlore lattice, and the resulting (surprisingly strong) \blacktriangleright symmetry constraints on (and simple form of) the tight-binding and spin models that describe many-body physics.

In The existence of both dipolar QSI and octupolar QSI phases in the XYZ model obeyed by DO doublets.

Exchange model

 \blacktriangleright We construct the symmetry allowed models under itinerant limit and localized limit. **DO doublets is highly constrained by space group symmetry, and both models are different with previously studied systems. At itinerant limit, we found topological insulator phase. At localized limit, the model is a** *XY Z* **model which could support two distinct quantum spin-ice phase protected by space group symmetry.**

I Reduce to XYZ model after a global rotation about *y*-axis in pseudo-spin space

I *Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction is forbidden!!*

> \blacksquare How to measure it? Both dQSI and oQSI T^3 specific heat from gapless photons; in f-electron realizations, this is expected to be about 1000 times the phonon contribution.

> ■ Equal-time dipolar correlations fall off as r^{-4} in dQSI, but as r^{-8} in oQSI.

$$
H_{\rm XYZ} = \sum_{\langle \boldsymbol{rr}' \rangle} \tilde{J}_x \tilde{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{r}}^x \tilde{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{r}'}^x + \tilde{J}_y \tilde{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{r}}^y \tilde{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{r}'}^y + \tilde{J}_z \tilde{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{r}}^z \tilde{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{r}'}^z \qquad (2)
$$

I Comparing with the results of dipolar doublets[\[2\]](#page-0-1). I Closely related to XXZ model. QSI is robust to arbitrary symmetry breaking perturbations, and thus survives away from the XXZ line.

Important Result

Results(I): at itinerant limit

Figure 3: Band structure at different parameters.

Figure 4: Phase diagram for the tight-binding model.

 Γ_4^+ Γ_5^+

Results(II):at localized limit

Figure 5: Phase diagram for exchange model

I Two distinct SET protected by space group symmetry, dQSI and oQSI.

 \blacktriangleright dQSI(o QSI) phase, so named because the electric field operator $E_{rr'} = \tilde{\tau}_r^z$ *r* (*τ*˜ *y* r^y \rightarrow dipolar(octupolar).

• Odd under time reversal

4 (pseudovector) of the *O^h* point group for dQSI 5 (neither vector nor pseudovector) of the *O^h* point group for oQSI

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Conclusion

Further questions

• Hund's coupling?[\[3\]](#page-0-2)

• Possible candidates? $Nd_2B_2O_7$, $Nd_2Ir_2O_7$, $CdEr₂Se₄.$

References

[1] Jason S. Gardner, Michel J. P. Gingras, and John E. Greedan.

Rev. Mod. Phys., 82:53–107, Jan 2010.

[2] Lucile Savary and Leon Balents.

Phys. Rev. Lett., 108(3):037202, January 2012.

[3] Yi-Ping Huang, Gang Chen, and Michael Hermele. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 112:167203, Apr 2014.

Acknowledgements

We thank Leon Balents, Michel Gingras, Sungbin Lee, and Lucile Savary for helpful conversations and correspondence.