POSITIVITY BOUNDS ON HIGGS-PORTAL DARK MATTER

Kimiko Yamashita (Ibaraki Univ.)



Collaborators: Seong-Sik Kim, Hyun Min Lee (Chung-Ang Univ.)

JHEP **06**, 124 (2023) (arXiv: 2302.02879, for WIMP Scalar Dark Matter) JHEP **11**, 119 (2023) (arXiv: 2308.14629, for Freeze-in Scalar Dark Matter)

June 5th, 2024 The Future is Flavourful National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan

Table of contents

- 1. Positivity Bounds
- 2. Higgs portal DM operators
- 3. Phenomenological Constraints
 - Relic Density
 - Direct and Indirect Detections
 - LHC Search
 - Freeze-in Dark Matter
- 4. Summary

WIMP Dark Matter

Positivity Bounds (1/12)

• EFT

heavy degrees of freedom decouple for large-distance phenomena or small momentum scale

• EFT interaction terms:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(5)} + \mathcal{L}^{(6)} + \mathcal{L}^{(7)} + \mathcal{L}^{(8)} + \cdots$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \frac{c_i^5}{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_i^{(5)} + \frac{c_i^6}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i^{(6)} + \frac{c_i^7}{\Lambda^3} \mathcal{O}_i^{(7)} + \frac{c_i^8}{\Lambda^4} \mathcal{O}_i^{(8)} + \cdots$$

Positivity Bounds (2/12)

- EFT is for the energy scale
 E << Λ (typical energy scale of the UV physics)
- Many UV models correspond with EFT



 From the general feature of UV theory, can we bound on Wilson coefficients of EFT?



If we base on the local Quantum Field Theory(QFT) for the general feature of UV theory,

- 1. Special relativity ——>Lorentz invariance
- 2. Conservation of probability ——> Unitarity
- 3. Causality - - > Analyticity

Positivity Bounds (3/12)

A. Adams, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dubovsky, A. Nicolis, R.Rattazzi, JHEP 0610, 014(2006)
One of the way to do this is Positivity bounds

- Positivity bounds: the signs of certain combinations of Wilson coefficients in EFT have to be positive,
 - e.g. W⁴ operators:

$$\frac{F_{T,0}}{\Lambda^4} \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}\hat{W}^{\mu\nu}]\operatorname{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\alpha\beta}\hat{W}^{\alpha\beta}] = \frac{F_{T,1}}{\Lambda^4} \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\alpha\nu}\hat{W}^{\mu\beta}]\operatorname{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\mu\beta}\hat{W}^{\alpha\nu}]
\frac{F_{T,2}}{\Lambda^4} \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\alpha\mu}\hat{W}^{\mu\beta}]\operatorname{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\beta\nu}\hat{W}^{\nu\alpha}] = \frac{F_{T,10}}{\Lambda^4} \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{W}^{\mu\nu}]\operatorname{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{W}^{\alpha\beta}]
\hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \equiv ig\frac{\sigma^I}{2} W^{I,\mu\nu} \qquad \tilde{W}^{\mu\nu} \equiv ig\frac{\sigma^I}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}W^{I,\rho\sigma}\right)$$

One of the positivity bounds:

$$2F_{T,0} + 2F_{T,1} + F_{T,2} \ge 0$$

KY, C. Zhang, S. Y. Zhou, JHEP 01, 095 (2021)



- Positivity bounds can apply for dim-8 operators [FFFF]=Dim 8 in tree-level ← Froissart Bound (⇔Analyticity), etc.
- Dim-8 operators are more suppressed by A than lower dimensional ones, however, for dim-8 aQGC operators, LHC experimentalists have been and currently working on CMS-PAS-SMP-18-001



• In the future, more dim-8 effects may become accessible

(e.g. new observable proposed for DY process: Alioli, Boughezal, Mereghetti, Petriello, Phys. Lett. B **809**, 135703 (2020), X. Li, K. Mimasu, <u>KY</u>, C. Yang, C. Zhang, S. Y. Zhou, JHEP**10**(2022)107)

-100

-50

Positivity Bounds (5/12) Positivity bounds are important as they offer complementary bounds to the experiments Q. Bi, C. Zhang, S.-Y. Zhou JHEP **1906** (2019) 137 E.g. WZjj (CMS-PAS-SMP-18-001) $O_{S,1} = [(D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Phi][(D_{\nu}\Phi)^{\dagger}D^{\nu}\Phi]$ $O_{M,1} = \text{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}\hat{W}^{\nu\beta}][(D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\Phi]$ 35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) 35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) f_{s,1}/A⁴ (TeV⁻⁴) 00 001 CMS Preliminary f_{M,1}/A⁴ (TeV⁻⁴) Expected 68% CL Expected 95% CL CMS Expected 68% CL Preliminary Expected 95% CL Expected 99% CL Observed 95% CL Expected 99% CL Observed 95% CL 0 0 -50-10

Positivity restricts the directions in which SM deviation is possible

-10

0

Allowed

 $f_{s,0}^{-4}/\Lambda^4 (TeV^{-4})$

 $O_{S,0} = [(D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}D_{\nu}\Phi][(D^{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}D^{\nu}\Phi]$

0

Allowed

f_{M,0}/Λ¹⁰ (TeV⁻⁴)

 $O_{M,0} = \text{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}\hat{W}^{\mu\nu}][(D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger}D^{\beta}\Phi]$

Kimiko YAMASHITA

T. N. Pham, T. N. Truong, Phys. Rev. D **31**, 3027 (1985)

B. Ananthanarayan, D. Toublan, G. Wanders, Phys. Rev. D 51, 1093-1100 (1995)

A. Adams, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dubovsky, A. Nicolis, R.Rattazzi, JHEP 0610, 014 (2006)

Positivity Bounds (6/12)

Ref: Slides by Francesco Riva



$$\mathcal{M} = C_0 + C_1 \frac{s}{M^2} + \underbrace{C_2}_{N^4} \frac{s^2}{M^4} + C_3 \frac{s^3}{M^6} + C_4 \frac{s^4}{M^8} + \cdots$$

massless scalar 2-2 forward elastic scattering:



Positivity Bounds (8/12)

Forward limit positivity bounds are from:

- 1. Lorentz Invariance
- Unitarity ⇒ Optical theorem: e.g., elastic case,

$$\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{M}(k_1, k_2 \to k_1, k_2) = s\sigma_{\operatorname{tot}}(k_1, k_2 \to \operatorname{anything})$$

1. Analyticity* \Rightarrow Froissart Bound:

$$|\mathcal{M}(s, \underline{\cos \theta} = 1)| < \text{Const. } s(\ln s)^2$$

Froissart, Martin 1960's
(for real s $\rightarrow \infty$)

*Analyticity of the amplitude besides poles and branch cuts on real axis

Positive

Positivity Bounds (9/12)

massless scalar 2-2 forward elastic scattering amplitude:



Positivity Bounds (10/12)





$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_M^\infty ds \frac{s \sigma_{tot}(s)}{s^3} > 0$$





Higgs Portal DM operators (1/4) -positivity side-

Derivative Coupling for Higgs and Dark Matter Fields

$$O_{H^{2}\varphi^{2}}^{(1)} = (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D_{\nu}H)(\partial^{\mu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi)$$
$$O_{H^{2}\varphi^{2}}^{(2)} = (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D^{\mu}H)(\partial_{\nu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi)$$

- Subject to satisfying positivity bounds
- Spin-2 massive graviton and/or spin-0 radion mediated DM model is one of the candidates of this scenario as the partial UV completion
- Sensitive to high-energy prosses

Higgs Portal DM operators (2/4) -positivity side-

• Positivity bounds from the superposed states:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{H^{2}\varphi^{2}}^{(1)} &= (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D_{\nu}H)(\partial^{\mu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi) \\ \mathcal{O}_{H^{2}\varphi^{2}}^{(2)} &= (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D^{\mu}H)(\partial_{\nu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\varphi^{4}} &= \partial_{\mu}\varphi\partial^{\mu}\varphi\partial_{\nu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi \\ \mathcal{O}_{H^{4}}^{(1)} &= (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D_{\nu}H)(D^{\nu}H^{\dagger}D^{\mu}H) \\ \mathcal{O}_{H^{4}}^{(2)} &= (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D_{\nu}H)(D^{\mu}H^{\dagger}D^{\nu}H) \\ \mathcal{O}_{H^{4}}^{(3)} &= (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D^{\mu}H)(D_{\nu}H^{\dagger}D^{\nu}H) \end{aligned}$$

Higgs Portal DM operators (3/4) -positivity side-

• Results:

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2\\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Bounds	Channels $(1\rangle + 2\rangle \rightarrow 1\rangle + 2\rangle)$
$C_{H^4}^{(1)} + C_{H^4}^{(2)} \ge 0$	$ 1\rangle = \phi_1\rangle , \ 2\rangle = \phi_3\rangle$
$C_{H^4}^{(1)} + C_{H^4}^{(2)} + C_{H^4}^{(3)} \ge 0$	$ 1\rangle = \phi_1\rangle, \ 2\rangle = \phi_1\rangle$
$C_{H^4}^{(2)} \ge 0$	$ 1\rangle = \phi_1\rangle , \ 2\rangle = \phi_2\rangle$
$C_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(1)} \ge 0$	$\left 1 ight angle=\left \phi_{1} ight angle,\left 2 ight angle=\left \varphi ight angle$
$C_{\varphi^4} \ge 0$	$ 1\rangle = \varphi\rangle , \ 2\rangle = \varphi\rangle$
$2\sqrt{(C_{H^4}^{(1)} + C_{H^4}^{(2)} + C_{H^4}^{(3)})C_{\varphi^4}}$	$ 1\rangle = 2\sqrt{C_{\varphi^4}} \phi_1\rangle + \sqrt{-(C_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(1)} + C_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(2)})} \varphi\rangle,$
$\geq -\left(C_{H^{2}\varphi^{2}}^{(1)}+C_{H^{2}\varphi^{2}}^{(2)}\right)$	2 angle = 1 angle Superposition
$2\sqrt{(C_{\mu\mu}^{(1)} + C_{\mu\mu}^{(2)} + C_{\mu\mu}^{(3)})C_{\mu\mu}^{(2)}} > C_{\mu\mu}^{(2)}$	$ 1\rangle = 2\sqrt{C_{\varphi^4}} \phi_1\rangle + \sqrt{C_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(2)}} \varphi\rangle,$
$-\mathbf{V} \left(\begin{array}{c} H^{4} & H^{4} \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} H^{4} & H^{4} \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} \varphi^{2} \\ H^{2} \varphi^{2} \end{array}$	$\left 2\right\rangle = -2\sqrt{C_{\varphi^4}}\left \phi_1\right\rangle + \sqrt{C_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(2)}}\left \varphi\right\rangle$
Higgs portal DM $o^{(1)}$	
Πiggs portal Divi $O_{H^2 \varphi^2}^{(=)} = (D_\mu H^\dagger D_\nu H) (\partial^\mu \varphi \partial^\nu \varphi)$	
$O^{(2)}_{H^2\varphi^2} = (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D^{\mu}H)(\partial_{\nu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi)$	

Higgs Portal DM operators (4/4) - dim4 and dim6 -

 Dim-4 and Dim-6 Higgs Portal DM operators relevant to the phenomenology (relic density, direct and indirect detections):

$$-\frac{1}{6\Lambda^{4}} \left(c_{1}m_{\varphi}^{4}\varphi^{4} + 4c_{2}m_{H}^{4}|H|^{4} + 8c_{2}'\lambda_{H}m_{H}^{2}|H|^{6} + 4c_{2}''\lambda_{H}^{2}|H|^{8} + 4c_{3}m_{\varphi}^{2}m_{H}^{2}\varphi^{2}|H|^{2} + 4c_{3}'\lambda_{H}m_{\varphi}^{2}\varphi^{2}|H|^{4} \right) \\ + \frac{1}{6\Lambda^{4}} \left(d_{1}m_{\varphi}^{2}\varphi^{2}(\partial_{\mu}\varphi)^{2} + 4d_{2}m_{H}^{2}|H|^{2}|D_{\mu}H|^{2} + 4d_{2}'\lambda_{H}|H|^{4}|D_{\mu}H|^{2} + 2d_{3}m_{\varphi}^{2}\varphi^{2}|D_{\mu}H|^{2} + 2d_{4}m_{H}^{2}|H|^{2}(\partial_{\mu}\varphi)^{2} + 2d_{4}'\lambda_{H}|H|^{4}(\partial_{\mu}\varphi)^{2} \right)$$

WIMP case Relic Density (1/2)

Higgs-portal interactions linear in the Higgs boson h

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\text{linear}} = \frac{1}{3\Lambda^4} h \left[2(c_3 - c_3')\lambda_H v^3 m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 - (d_4 - d_4')\lambda_H v^3 (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 \right]$$

• Feynman diagrams for DM annihilation processes when $c'_3=c_3$ and $d'_4=d_4$ ($\varphi \phi \rightarrow h \rightarrow ff$ are absent):



19/29

h

WIMP case **Relic Density (2/2)**



WIMP case Direct Detection

Higgs-portal interactions linear in the Higgs boson h —

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\text{linear}} = \frac{1}{3\Lambda^4} h \left[2(c_3 - c'_3)\lambda_H v^3 m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 - (d_4 - d'_4)\lambda_H v^3 (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 \right]$$
$$\mathcal{L} \supset \left[-\frac{1}{6\Lambda^4} \left(c_1 m_{\varphi}^4 \varphi^4 + 4c_2 m_H^4 |H|^4 + 8c'_2 \lambda_H m_H^2 |H|^6 + 4c''_2 \lambda_H^2 |H|^8 + 4c_3 m_{\varphi}^2 m_H^2 \varphi^2 |H|^2 + 4c'_3 \lambda_H m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 |H|^4 \right) + \frac{1}{6\Lambda^4} \left(d_1 m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 + 4d_2 m_H^2 |H|^2 |D_\mu H|^2 + 4d'_2 \lambda_H |H|^4 |D_\mu H|^2 + 2d_3 m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 |D_\mu H|^2 + 2d_4 m_H^2 |H|^2 (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 + 2d'_4 \lambda_H |H|^4 (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 \right),$$

 Tree-level direct detection bounds are absent when c'₃=c₃ and d'₄=d₄ e.g., Massive Graviton/Radion cases



$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{WIMP case} & \mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{6\Lambda^4} (4c_3 m_{\varphi}^2 m_H^2 \varphi^2 |H|^2 + 4c'_3 \lambda_H m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 |H|^4) \\ \text{Indirect Detection} & +\frac{1}{6\Lambda^4} (2d_4 m_H^2 |H|^2 (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 + 2d'_4 \lambda_H |H|^4 (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2) \end{array}$$

• When $c'_3 = c_3$ and $d'_4 = d_4$, $\varphi \varphi \rightarrow h \rightarrow ff$ are absent:

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,\text{linear}} = \frac{1}{3\Lambda^4} h \left[2(c_3 - c_3')\lambda_H v^3 m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi^2 - (d_4 - d_4')\lambda_H v^3 (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 \right]$$

- In this case $\varphi \phi \rightarrow hh$, *WW*, and ZZ can be constrained by indirect detection $O_{H^2 \varphi^2}^{(1)} = (D_\mu H^\dagger D_\nu H) (\partial^\mu \varphi \partial^\nu \varphi)$ $O_{H^2 \varphi^2}^{(2)} = (D_\mu H^\dagger D^\mu H) (\partial_\nu \varphi \partial^\nu \varphi)$
- If we assume that only massive graviton is involved, $\varphi \phi \rightarrow hh$ also vahish at *s*-wave, but $\varphi \phi \rightarrow WW/ZZ$ are *s*-wave dominant

WIMP case LHC Search (1/3)

ATLAS measurement with 139/fb at the 13 TeV LHC

Adapted from Fig. 1 in G. Aad et al. [ATLAS], JHEP 08, 104 (2022)



• For our dim-8 operators, H in Fig. is integrated out $\chi \rightarrow \varphi$

 $O_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(1)} = (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D_{\nu}H)(\partial^{\mu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi)$ $O_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(2)} = (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D^{\mu}H)(\partial_{\nu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi)$

- Higgs takes vev
- Covariant Derivative contains vector bosons

WIMP case LHC Search (2/3)

ATLAS measurement with 139/fb at the 13 TeV LHC

• 95% upper limits: 0.11 pb G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS], JHEP 08, 104 (2022)

$\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV LHC}, L_{\text{int}} = 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	$\sigma^{\text{VBF}} \times B_{\text{inv}} = 0.11 \text{ pb} (m_H = 1 \text{ TeV})$
$\Lambda = 1 \text{ TeV}, m_{\varphi} = 375 \text{ GeV}$	cross section from EFT operators
$(C^{(1)}_{H^2 \varphi^2}, C^{(2)}_{H^2 \varphi^2}) = (40, 40)$	0.28 pb Excluded
$(C^{(1)}_{H^2arphi^2},C^{(2)}_{H^2arphi^2})=(32,32)$	0.11 pb Excluded
$(C^{(1)}_{H^2 arphi^2}, C^{(2)}_{H^2 arphi^2}) = (40, 0)$	0.012 pb
$(C_{H^2 \varphi^2}^{(1)}, C_{H^2 \varphi^2}^{(2)}) = (0, 40)$	$0.097 \mathrm{\ pb}$



$$O_{H^{2}\varphi^{2}}^{(1)} = (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D_{\nu}H)(\partial^{\mu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi)$$
$$O_{H^{2}\varphi^{2}}^{(2)} = (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D^{\mu}H)(\partial_{\nu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi)$$

24/29

WIMP case LHC Search (3/3)

High Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) Search



Amplitude for $W^+W^-/ZZ \rightarrow \varphi \varphi$

• $O^{(2)}_{H^2 \varphi^2}$ shows only Mandelstam s and mass dependencies • $O_{H^2(2)}^{(1)}$ causes *t* dependency also

Checking angular distributions may help to distinguish

 $O_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(1)} = (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D_{\nu}H)(\partial^{\mu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi)$ between $O_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(1)}$ and $O_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(2)}$ $O_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(2)} = (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D^{\mu}H)(\partial_{\nu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi)$

X. Li, K. Mimasu, KY, C. Yang, C. Zhang, S. Y. Zhou, JHEP10(2022)107

Freeze-in Dark Matter (1/3)

 ϕ_i

- We assume that the electroweak symmetry is unbroken during the freeze-in production of dark matter
- Feynman diagrams for DM production due to effective Higgs-portal actions:

Non-thermal DM production

$$\varphi \quad H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Taking $s,t\gg m_{\varphi}^2,m_{H}^2,$

$$|\mathcal{M}_{\phi_i\phi_i\to\varphi\varphi}|^2 \simeq \frac{1}{576\Lambda^8} \left[3(C_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(1)} + 2C_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(2)})s^2 + 6C_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(1)}t(t+s) \right]^2$$

Freeze-in Dark Matter (2/3)



 $O_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(1)} = (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D_{\nu}H)(\partial^{\mu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi) \quad O_{H^2\varphi^2}^{(2)} = (D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D^{\mu}H)(\partial_{\nu}\varphi\partial^{\nu}\varphi)$

Freeze-in Dark Matter (3/3)

 $\sqrt{(C_{H^4}^{(1)} + C_{H^4}^{(2)} + C_{H^4}^{(3)})C_{\varphi^4}} = 0.1$



Summary

- We consider Higgs portal dark matter derivative coupled dim-8 interactions and apply the positivity conditions to them
- We also included dim-4 and dim-6 Higgs portal interactions
- We see constraints from relic density, direct and indirect detections
- For HL-LHC search, utilizing the kinematical distributions may be useful
- We also see the interplay between the positivity and relic density for the freeze-in dark matter case



Backup

Dark Matter (1/4)





with the gravitational lensing (blue) <https://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/2006/1e0657/>



Gravitational Lensing

Gravitational lensing system called SDSS J0928+2031 observed by Hubble telescope <https://esahubble.org/images/potw1903a/>



Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)

P.A.R.Ade et al. [Planck], Astron. Astrophys. 571, A16 (2014)



Multipole l

Dark Matter (2/4)

Particle Data Group, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022, 083C01 (2022) and 2023 update

Energy density ratios in the Universe



Dark Matter (3/4) – DM Models-

Particle Data Group, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022, 083C01 (2022) and 2023 update

- Framework approach
 - Hierarchy Problem: Supersymmetry, Extra-dimension
 - Strong CP problem: Axions
 - Neutrino masses and mixing: Sterile neutrino
- Anomaly/signal approach
 - Extension of gauge sectors: Extra U(1)/Dark SU(2) symmetry, e.g., dark photon/Z' boson, SU(2) gauge boson
- Renormalizable "portals" approach Higgs-portal, Hypercharge field strength, Neutrino-portal
- Effective Field Theory approach

Dark Matter (4/4) -Production Mechanism-

- WIMPs (Weakly Interacting Massive Particles)
 Freeze-out mechanism: Thermal equilibrium → Away from it
- FIMPs (Feebly Interacting Massive Particles)
 Freeze-in mechanism: Out-of-equilibrium DM Production



L. J. Hall, K. Jedamzik, J. March-Russell, S. M. West JHEP **03**, 080 (2010)

F. Elahi, C. Kolda and J. Unwin, JHEP **03**, 048 (2015)



Positivity Bounds (14/16) **Example of Positivity Photon Energy** g⁴/m⁴ Fermion Mass $\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\vec{B}^2 - \vec{E}^2 \right) \quad \mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$ $+a\mathcal{F}^2 +$ $\mathcal{L}_{ ext{eff}} = -\mathcal{F}$ CP even case

Consistent with QED

Positivity bounds: a>0, b>0

Dispersion Relation (for Positivity Bounds) (15/16)

Forward scattering amp, (Amp by Dim.8) $M^2 = m_i^2 + m_i^2 + m_k^2 + m_l^2$ at low energy (EFT) \propto (F/ Λ^4) s² $M_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} M_{ij \to kl} \left(s = \frac{1}{2} M^2, t = 0 \right) + c.c.$ $= \sum_{X} \int_{\substack{(\epsilon\Lambda)^2\\\epsilon \leq 1}}^{\infty} \frac{dsM_{ij \to X}M_{kl \to X}^*}{2\pi s^3} \xrightarrow{\text{Amplitude of SM} \to X} + (j \leftrightarrow l) + c.c$ s *⊆*u crossing Σ_{x} : BSM states, X summation& » O LIPS integration $\frac{d^2}{dS^2} = + + + + + S \leftrightarrow U \text{ Crossing}$ M2/2

Dispersion Relation (for Positivity Bounds) (16/16)

Useful to rewrite Dispersion Relation for Positivity Bounds

$$(Amp \text{ by Dim.8}) (M^{ijkl}) = \int_{(\epsilon\Lambda)^2}^{\infty} \sum_{X} \sum_{K=R,I} \frac{d\mu m_K M^{ij} m_K M^{kl}}{\pi\mu^3} + (j \leftrightarrow l)$$

$$M_{ijkl} = \frac{F_{\alpha} M^{ijkl}_{\alpha}}{\Lambda^4} \qquad \text{where} \quad M(ij \to X) \equiv m_{R_X}^{ij} + im_{I_X}^{ij}$$
• When i=k, j=l, RHS complete squares >=0
$$M^{ijij} \geq 0 \qquad \text{because} \quad m_K M^{ij} m_K M^{ij} \geq 0$$
• More generally.

Elastic Forward Scattering between Superposed States : $\underbrace{M(ab \rightarrow ab)}_{\substack{\|i\}}{u^i v^j u^{*k} v^{*l} M^{ijkl}} = \int_{(\epsilon\Lambda)^2}^{\infty} \sum_{X'} \sum_{K=R,I} \frac{d\mu}{\pi\mu^3} \left[|u \cdot m_{K_X} \cdot v|^2 + |u \cdot m_{K_X} \cdot v^*|^2 \right] \ge 0$

(generalized) Elastic Positivity Bounds

Higgs Portal DM operators (5/5)

- Massive Graviton and Radion case-
- Higgs/DM and Graviton Interaction:

$$-\frac{c_H}{M} G^{\mu\nu} T^H_{\mu\nu} - \frac{c_\varphi}{M} G^{\mu\nu} T^\varphi_{\mu\nu}$$

Higgs/DM and Radion Interaction:

$$\mathcal{L}_r = \frac{c_H^r}{\sqrt{6}M} r \, T^H + \frac{c_{\varphi}^r}{\sqrt{6}M} r \, T^{\varphi}$$

- After Integrating out Massive Graviton/Radion, we can identify coefficients of dim-4, 6, and 8 operators as an example
- We found that they satisfied the positivity conditions as far as $c_H c_{\varphi} \ge 0$ (attractive force for the graviton)

WIMP case Relic Density (3/3) - Graviton and Radion case-

