

國立情華大學

**QP LAB** 

# Noise-Robust Quantum LiDAR Using Temporally Long Single Photons and Phase Modulation

Yen-Cheng Shih<sup>1</sup>, Wen-Chia Lo<sup>1</sup>, Chih-Sung Chuu<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University

## Abstract

Quantum LiDAR is a method that combines quantum light sources with LiDAR techniques, leveraging quantum characteristics for sensing applications. However, in practical scenarios, immense noise and low reflection rates often cause quantum light to be overwhelmed by classical light, reducing its efficiency.

Inspired by the spread spectrum technique, we implement phase modulation at both the sender and receiver, which serves as an encoding and decoding scheme to effectively filter out unwanted noise. In this poster, we demonstrate the effectiveness of the LiDAR system by achieving a high signal-to-noise ratio in a noisy environment and analyze the impact of transmission rate variations on the target, highlighting its advantages and potential applications. We believe this technique has significant potential to improve the practicality of quantum LiDAR and bring it closer to real-world applications.

## Introduction

- Quantum illumination- the exponential improvement with entanglement and qubit over classical illumination.
- Challenges: photon number difference in practical situation  $\rightarrow$  enhance signal-to-noise ratio (SNR)
- Modulation and demodulation- encoding and distinguishment



Coincidence detection

## Backwards-wave Cavity-Enhanced SPDC

Spontaneous
 Parametric Down Conversion (SPDC):





Fig. 1 Schematic of SPDC process



- Ultrabright backwards-wave biphoton source [1]
- Narrow linewidth- less frequency noise
- Optical parametric oscillator (OPO)



## Phase modulation technique

#### Quantum light



Phase

Amp.

Considering a coherent pulse f(t), after a periodic time phase modulation, the frequency spectrum  $F(\omega)$  will be separated to orders of sidebands  $F'(\omega)$ , which can be seen as:

 $F'(\omega) = \int f(t)e^{-iAS_{\omega_0}(t)}e^{-i\omega t}dt, \quad S_{\omega_0}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, 0 < t < \frac{T}{2} \\ 0, \frac{T}{2} < t < T \end{cases}$ 

When  $A = \pi$  is allowed, the origin peak will be translated.

Here, we use 500MHz modulation frequency of phase electro-optical modulator (PEOM) to achieve high frequency separation.

# Amp.

Noise light

Time

Time

## Experimental setup

Time

Freq



Time (ms)Time (ms)Time (ms)Time (ms)Time (ms)Fig. 2 | Frequency domain representation of double modulation of signal (left) and noise (right)without filtering. The images were obtained using a Fabry-Perot interferometer.

With the adjustment of polarization and bias voltage before EOM, the signal can almost be recovered, and the noise can be separated into two sets of sidebands as shown in figure.2.

• Quantum signal-to-noise ratio



a high-noise environment (SNR = 0.3)

Here we demonstrate the noise robustness with phase modulation in the coincidence window. Figure.3 represents the situation that noise is much larger than signal.

Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is defined using the unnormalized Glauber correlation function,  $G^{(2)}(\tau)$ . It can be calculated as

$$SNR = \frac{G^{(2)}(\tau)}{\langle G^{(2)}(\tau) \rangle_{ha}}, \ G^{(2)}(\tau) = E[\mathbb{N}_1 \mathbb{N}_2(\tau)].$$

After modulation, the noise will be suppressed and the signal occupied again (Figure.4) The result will approximate a noise-free condition. (Figure.5)



Fig. 6 | SNR comparison for varying transmission rate

Fig. 7 | SNR for varying noise power

To quantify the effect of modulation technique, we adjusted two parameters (noise and transmission) and maintained all the other parameters. We can directly observe that, the modulated signal has higher SNR compared to the unmodulated case.

	INDISE: II.7 UD	NOISE: 25 UD	NOISE. 20 ad	NOISE: 20 ad
	Trans: 0.9	Trans: 0.9	Trans: 0.1	Trans: 0.9
Modulated	17.8	12.8	3.4	13.5
Origin	1.4	-7.5	-15.1	-6.3

### Conclusion

This phase-modulation technique demonstrates the efficient method for separating the coherent noise light. We compare and discuss the SNR of the system under varying transmission rates and noise conditions. The temporally long photons provide a suitable platform to match the response frequency of most electric components. We believe that this technique has immense potential at quantum sensing and LiDAR towards practical situation.

### • Future work

- Comparison of Classical and Quantum LiDAR
- Quantum secure sensing with random phase encoding
- 2D Sample scanning

### Reference

[1] Chuu, C. S., & Harris, S. E. (2011). Ultrabright backward-wave biphoton source. Physical Review A—Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics, 83(6), 061803.
[2] Yin-Huan, Chang. (2024). *Quantum LiDAR with temporal long single photons* (Master's thesis). National Tsing Hua University
[3] Blakey, et al. (2022). Quantum and non-local effects offer over 40 dB noise

resilience advantage towards quantum lidar. Nature communications, 13(1), 5633.