# Astrophysical Probes of New Physics: From Neutron Stars to High-Energy Neutrinos

## Vedran Brdar Oklahoma State University



 Neutron Star Eclipses as Axion Laboratories (VB, D. S. Chattopadhyay, arXiv:2504.02030)

 Does the 220 PeV Event at KM3NeT Point to New Physics? (VB, D. S. Chattopadhyay, arXiv:2502.21299)

## Motivation: Strong CP Problem and Axion

► take QCD with 1 quark  $\tilde{m} = e^{i\gamma_5\phi}$  $\mathcal{L}_{CP} \supset \frac{\theta}{32\pi^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_a^{\mu\nu} G_a^{\rho\sigma} - \bar{\psi}\tilde{m}\psi$ 

- under chiral rotation  $\psi' = \psi e^{\frac{i\alpha\gamma_5}{2}}$  $\phi \rightarrow \phi' = \phi + \alpha, \ \theta \rightarrow \theta' = \theta - \alpha$
- $\bar{\theta} \equiv \theta + \phi$  is invariant and we can not rotate away the CP violation terms in the strong sector
- ▶ neutron electric dipole moment  $\simeq 10^{-14}\overline{\theta}$  e cm and measurements give  $|d_n| < 1.8 \times 10^{-26}$ e cm
- $ar{ heta} \lesssim 10^{-12}$  (strong CP problem)

 introduce U(1)<sub>PQ</sub> symmetry which is spontaneously broken and generates axion

$$\mathcal{L}_{a} \supset rac{a}{f_{a}} rac{1}{32\pi^{2}} \epsilon_{\mu
u
ho\sigma} G^{\mu
u}_{a} G^{
ho\sigma}_{a}$$

- from the axion potential we find that its VEV is  $\langle a \rangle = -\bar{\theta} f_a$
- redefine  $a_p = a \langle a \rangle$ ;  $\langle a_p \rangle = 0$
- we got  $\mathcal{L}_a \supset -\bar{\theta} \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G^{\mu\nu}_a G^{\rho\sigma}_a$ which cancels CP term in QCD  $\mathcal{L}$
- in addition to solving the strong CP problem, axion is also a viable dark matter (DM) candidate

#### Axion-Photon Interaction

 axion's two-photon interaction plays a key role in the majority of the experimental searches

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -rac{1}{4}\, {m g}_{{m a}\gamma}\, {m a}\, {m F}^{\mu
u}\, ilde{m F}_{\mu
u} = {m g}_{{m a}\gamma}\, ec{m E}\cdotec{m B}$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  here,  $g_{a\gamma} \propto f_a^{-1}$  and  $m_a f_a pprox m_\pi f_\pi \sim (100\,{
  m MeV})^2$
- fo the case of axion-like particles (ALPs), particle's mass and its decay constant are treated as independent parameters





Astrophysical Probes of New Physics

#### Neutron Star Eclipses as Axion Laboratories





Dibya Chattopadhyay



#### High Energy Physics - Phenomenology

[Submitted on 2 Apr 2025]

#### Neutron Star Eclipses as Axion Laboratories

#### Vedran Brdar, Dibya S. Chattopadhyay

In high similary through-walls experiments, axions and axion-like particles (ALPs) are assumed for by expensing an optically tink iterative to a laser hannes in any endire thick, photons out convert in RA LPs in not on the harinr and noncommutative like the light haring. The approximation is a larger that the similar and noncommutative light () any of next and photons and convert of the presence of such hidden particles. In this work, we utilize the light-thring-through-walls concept and apply to astrophysical castels. Namely, we consider editory the particles of the similar and noncommutative light () and the similar and noncommutative light () and the similar and noncommutative light () and the similar and

## Light-Shining-Through-Walls



► Lab-based experiments: OSQAR, CROWS, ALPS  $(g_{a\gamma} \simeq 10^{-7} \text{GeV}^{-1})$ ALPS II (upcoming)

we utilize the light-shining-through-walls concept and apply it to astrophysical scales (eclipsing binary system)

## Schematic Diagram



eclipsing binary system composed of:

- 1) neutron star  $\rightarrow$  bright source of X-rays
- 2) larger companion star that serves as a "wall"

## $\gamma \rightarrow \textit{a}$ Transition Near Binary Systems

2-level system featuring ALP and photon states:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm eff} = -\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\gamma} & \Delta_{a\gamma} \\ \Delta_{a\gamma} & \Delta_{a} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Delta_{\gamma} = -\frac{m_{\rm eff}^2}{2E_{\gamma}} \qquad \Delta_{a} = -\frac{m_{a}^2}{2E_{\gamma}} \qquad \Delta_{a\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}g_{a\gamma}|\vec{B}_{T}|$$

effective photon mass:  $m_{\text{eff}}^2(r) = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{m_e} n_e(r) - \frac{88\alpha^2 E_{\gamma}^2}{270 m_e^4} B(r)^2$ 

model the magnetic field like that of a dipole: B(r) = B<sup>(0)</sup> (r/10 km)<sup>-3</sup>
n<sub>e</sub>(r) = n<sub>e</sub><sup>(0)</sup> (r/10 km)<sup>-3</sup>

B<sup>(0)</sup> and n<sup>(0)</sup><sub>e</sub> are magnetic field and electron number density at the surface of the neutron star

## Finding the Ideal System: LMC X-4

 Optimal candidate – high out-of-eclipse to eclipse flux ratio, large B<sup>(0)</sup>, large distance from Earth

		Distance	NS Mag. field	Flux ratio	Location
90% LMXBs have weaker magnetic fields, while ~10% have magnetic field at ~10 <sup>12</sup> G	LMC X-4	~50 kpc	$3 \times 10^{13} \text{ G}$	~237	LMC
	Vela X-1	1.6 kpc	$2.6 \times 10^{12} \text{ G}$	~100	MW
	Cen X-3	~7.2 kpc	$3 \times 10^{12} \text{ G}$	~70	MW
	MXB 1659-298	9-15 kpc	$(10^8 - 10^9)$ G	~ <b>30</b> , 250	Towards GC
	EXO 0748-676	~7 kpc	$(10^8 - 10^9)$ G	~ <b>50</b> , 600	Galactic disk
	XTE 1710-281	12-16 kpc	$(10^8 - 10^9)$ G	~ <b>129</b> , 500	Towards GC

 $\gamma \rightarrow a$  Process Near LMC X-4

► solve 
$$i \frac{d}{dr} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(r) \\ a(r) \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{H}_{eff} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(r) \\ a(r) \end{pmatrix}$$
  
and compute  $|a(r)|^2$ 

► the large transition probability,  $P_{\rm NS}$ , originates from the resonance achieved for  $m_{\rm eff}^2 = m_a^2$ 





## $a \rightarrow \gamma$ Transition in the Interstellar Medium (ISM)

- ▶ ISM *n<sub>e</sub>* and *B* will affect the oscillation probabilities
- ▶ we use UF23 (x8) magnetic field, and the YMW16 electron density model



## $a \rightarrow \gamma$ Transition in the Interstellar Medium (ISM)



- $\blacktriangleright$  ~ kpc distances necessary for efficient transition probability  $P_{\mathsf{ISM}}$
- we consider conversion in Milky Way (LMC and IGMF not considered)



The total number of photons observed during the eclipse must exceed the number of photons produced through the γ-ALP-γ process

$$F_{
m eclipse}\gtrsim F_{
m out-of-eclipse}\,P_{
m NS}\,P_{
m ISM}$$

 we take that at least 80% of the observed photons during the eclipse are not arising from ALPs



to set the limit we use:

$$P_{\rm NS} P_{\rm ISM} = 0.2 F_{\rm eclipse} / F_{\rm out-of-eclipse}$$

- $P_{\rm NS}P_{\rm ISM}$  is maximum in  $E_\gamma pprox (3-6)$  keV range
- ISM conversion becomes inefficient at higher ALP masses
- $\blacktriangleright$  bound at around  $g_{a\gamma} \simeq 1.5 imes 10^{-10} \, {
  m GeV^{-1}}$





Astrophysical Probes of New Physics

#### Towards the Ideal Candidate

- better understanding of the eclipsing system may constrain the contribution of the γ-ALP-γ process to at most a few %
- an eclipsing system located towards the Galactic Center would be ideal due to the stronger magnetic fields (factor of 10 in P<sub>ISM</sub>)
- ► a stronger magnetic field of the candidate neutron star (e.g., an eclipsing magnetar) could increase P<sub>NS</sub> by O(10)
- future observations may achieve unprecedented angular resolution for such a system, enhancing the out-of-eclipse to eclipse flux ratio by O(100)





Astrophysical Probes of New Physics

#### Take-Home Message

- Light-shining-through-walls in astrophysics
- Eclipsing neutron stars as a novel system to look for ALPs
- LMC X-4 system gives constraints of  $g_{a\gamma} \sim 10^{-10}\,{
  m GeV^{-1}}$
- Optimal eclipse scenario can yield  $g_{a\gamma} \sim 10^{-11} \, {
  m GeV^{-1}}$



## Neutrino Astronomy: IceCube





## Neutrino Astronomy: IceCube



Astrophysical Probes of New Physics

20/32

# Former Energy Champion: Glashow Resonance at IceCube



Astrophysical Probes of New Physics

21/32

## KM3NeT







## New Energy Champion: KM3-230213A Event

- The KM3NeT collaboration reported the detection of a ~ 120 PeV muon originating from a neutrino interaction with a median neutrino energy of 220 PeV
- This is the highest-energy neutrino ever detected, exceeding the Glashow resonance event in IceCube's dataset by a factor of O(10)



## What about IceCube?

- IceCube has been operating with a much larger effective area for a longer time and has not observed neutrinos above ~ 10 PeV
- 2-3.5σ tension, depending on the neutrino source (Li et al., arXiv:2502.04508)
- Event such as KM3-230213A would be expected in 70 years of observation...an upward fluctuation at the level of 2.2σ (KM3NeT, Nature (2025))



#### KM3NeT vs IceCube

$$rac{dN(E_{
u})}{dE} = T \int d\Omega \, A_{\mathrm{eff}}(E_{
u},\cos heta) \, \Phi(E_{
u},\Omega)$$

- The difference in the effective areas between IceCube and KM3NeT is ~ 20
- To explain the tension, the neutrino flux at KM3NeT needs to be larger by a similar factor
- How to achieve that?
   Sterile neutrinos partially converting into active neutrinos inside Earth



## Sterile Neutrino Sources



Lack of multi-messenger observations corresponding to KM3-230213A event

Speculations on sterile neutrino sources:

- A dense outer layer around the source stops/downscatters SM particles
- AGN jets + dense matter blocking ultra high energy SM particles
- Dark sector stars







[Submitted on 28 Feb 2025]

#### Does the 220 PeV Event at KM3NeT Point to New Physics?

#### Vedran Brdar, Dibya S. Chattopadhyay

The KM3P4 Collaboration recently reported the observation of KM3-2302.134, a neutrino event with an energy exceeding 100 PeV, more than an order of magnitude higher than the most energetin cnutrino in loceCube scatage. Given its longer data-taking period and larger effective area relative to KM3NeT, locCube should have observed events around that energy. This tension has recently been quantified to lie between 2*a* and 3.5*e*, depending on the neutrino source. A 04(100) PeV neutrino detected at KM3NeT has traversed approximately 1/4 hm of rock and sea en route to the detector, whereas neutrinos ource. A 04(100) PeV neutrino detected at KM3NeT has traversed approximately 1/4 hm of rock and sea en route to addition the same location in the sky would have only traveled through about 14 km of loce before reaching locCube. We use this difference in propagation distance to address the tension between KM3NeT and LocCube. Specifically, we consider as cenario in which the source emits settle neutrinos that partially corver the active neutrinos trough oscillatoris. New scrutinize two such realizations, one where a new physics matter potential induces a resonance in settle-to-active transitions and another one where off-diagonal neutrino non-active neutrino are employed. In botc cases, stelle-to-active transitions and another one where off-diagonal neutrino non-active active neutrino thus near the KKM3NeT detector, alleviating the tension between KM3NeT and locCube. Overall, we propose the exciting possibility that neutrino telescopers may have started detecting new physics.



- O(100) PeV neutrino detected at KM3NeT has traversed ~ 150 km of rock and sea en route to the detector
- Neutrinos arriving from the same location in the sky (angle of 8° w.r.t. horizon) would have only traveled through ~ 14 km of ice before reaching IceCube

Astrophysical Probes of New Physics

#### Neutrino production via non-standard interactions

• Consider the following Hamiltonian in the  $(\nu_{\mu}, \nu_s)$  flavor basis

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\theta} & s_{\theta} \\ -s_{\theta} & c_{\theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_s^2/(2E_{\nu}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\theta} & -s_{\theta} \\ s_{\theta} & c_{\theta} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} V_{\mathsf{NC}} & \epsilon_{\mu s} V_{\mathsf{CC}} \\ \epsilon_{\mu s} V_{\mathsf{CC}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ► NSI term arises from  $\mathcal{L} \supset -2\sqrt{2}G_F \epsilon^f_{\mu s} (\bar{\nu}_s \gamma^{\mu} P_L \nu_{\mu}) (\bar{f} \gamma_{\mu} f)$
- The effective mixing angle and mass-squared difference in the limit of small θ:

$$\theta_m = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{4\epsilon_{\mu s} E_{\nu} V_{CC}}{m_s^2 + E_{\nu} V_{CC}} \right) \qquad \Delta m_{\text{eff}}^2 = \sqrt{\left( E_{\nu} V_{CC} + m_s^2 \right)^2 + 16\epsilon_{\mu s}^2 E_{\nu}^2 V_{CC}^2}$$

▶  $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_\mu$  conversion probability:

$$P_{s\mu} = \sin^2(2\theta_m) \sin^2\left[\Delta m_{\rm eff}^2 L/(4E_{
u})
ight]$$

#### Neutrino production via non-standard interactions



•  $\epsilon_{\mu s}$  values up to  $\mathcal{O}(1-10)$  have a negligible effect on low-energy neutrino oscillations

The difference in the propagation length between KM3NeT and IceCube leads to a difference in P<sub>sµ</sub>, which implies a larger active neutrino flux at KM3NeT, alleviating the tension

Astrophysical Probes of New Physics

#### Alternative explanations for the tension

in addition to oscillations, scattering in Earth is an option to explain the tension



## Dark' Matter Effect as a Novel Solution to the KM3-230213A Puzzle

P. S. Bhupal Dev, Bhaskar Dutta, Aparajitha Karthikeyan, Writasree Maitra, Louis E. Strigari, Ankur Verma

Astrophysical sources of dark particles as a solution to the KM3NeT and IceCube tension over KM3-230213A

Yasaman Farzan, Matheus Hostert

#### Take-Home Message

- KM3NeT collaboration observed highest-energy neutrino event exceeding O(100) PeV
- No such observation has been reported at IceCube, resulting in a tension of  $2-3.5\sigma$
- ▶ To alleviate the tension, we use the fact that the path through the Earth for KM3NeT is an order of magnitude longer than that for IceCube, leading to a larger  $P(\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_\mu)$  and hence a higher flux of muon neutrinos at KM3NeT





Astrophysical Probes of New Physics



#### Neutrino production through matter-induced resonance

- ► in a 2-flavor scenario ( $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\nu_{s}$ ), MSW resonance is realized when  $V = \cos 2\theta \Delta m^{2}/(2E_{\nu})$
- ▶ in SM, matter potential V is ~  $10^{-23}$  GeV; resonant oscillation length  $L_{\rm res} \simeq \pi/(V \sin 2\theta)$  for  $\theta^2 = 10^{-3}$  reads  $L_{\rm res} = 10^5$  km
- $\blacktriangleright$  for KM3NeT (  $L\sim 100$  km),  $L^2/L^2_{\rm res}\simeq 10^{-6}$
- ► consider a large sterile neutrino matter potential ( $V_s \gg V_{SM}$ ) in order to have larger  $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_\mu$  transition probability
- model with spontaneously broken  $U(1)_B$  (Pospelov, PRD 2011)

$$\mathcal{L} \supset g_b' \bar{\nu}_s \not \! \! / \nu_s + (g_b/3) \sum_q \bar{q} \not \! \! / q + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

• EFT framework:  $\mathcal{L}_{eff} \supset \frac{g_b g'_b}{2m_V^2} [\bar{\nu}_s \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_s] [\bar{p} \gamma^\mu p + \bar{n} \gamma^\mu n]$ 

Sterile neutrino potential  $V_s = \left[g_b g_b'/m_V^2\right](n_p+n_n) \equiv G_B(n_p+n_n)$ 

Neutrino production through matter-induced resonance

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\theta} & s_{\theta} \\ -s_{\theta} & c_{\theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{m_s^2}{2E_{\nu}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\theta} & -s_{\theta} \\ s_{\theta} & c_{\theta} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} V_{\rm NC} & 0 \\ 0 & V_s \end{pmatrix}$$
$$V_{\rm NC} = -(\sqrt{2}/2)G_{\rm F}n_n \approx -(1/2)V_{\rm CC}$$

- $V_s = 2G_F \epsilon_{ss}(n_n + n_p)$
- $\mathcal{O}(10^2 10^3) \epsilon_{ss}$  considered
- ► for  $\mathcal{O}(100)$  PeV neutrino energy, resonance occurs for  $\sqrt{\Delta m^2} \approx m_s \simeq 2 \times 10^{-1} \sqrt{\epsilon_{ss}}$  keV  $\Rightarrow$  keV sterile neutrino



Astrophysical Probes of New Physics

#### Neutrino production through matter-induced resonance



• The difference in  $P(\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_{\mu})$ implies a larger active neutrino flux at KM3NeT compared to that at IceCube, alleviating the tension



# $U(1)_B$ Constraints



$$\blacktriangleright$$
 we require  $\epsilon_{ss}\simeq 100$ 

 $\left(\frac{g'}{g}\right)^2 \left(\frac{M_Z}{M_{Z'}}\right)^2 \simeq 100$ 

#### Harnik, Kopp, Machado, JCAP 2012

	$U(1)_{B-L}$ (vector couplings)	Kinetically mixed	$U(1)_B$ (vector couplings
	(Model A)	(Model B)	(Model C)
g - 2		<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	× _
Fixed Target	1	1	×
r	1	1	×*
Atomic physics	1	1	×
Sun/Clusters/CAST	1	1	?
SN1987A	1	1	1
LSW	1	1	×
CMB	1	1	?
Borexino	1	only if $\nu_s$ exist	×
GEMMA	1	×	×
Fifth force	1	×	1

#### Astrophysical Probes of New Physics

## Alleviating Sterile Neutrino Constraints

- $\triangleright$   $\nu_s$  + secret self-interactions (1310.6337,1806.10629)
- ν<sub>s</sub> with initially a very large mass generated by the VEV of a new scalar field (1806.10629)
- Yukawa coupling of v<sub>s</sub> to ultra-light scalar particle (dark matter)
   → large effective mass of v<sub>s</sub> in early Universe (1907.04271,1908.02278)
- Low reheating temperature (2501.01369)



Astrophysical Probes of New Physics